UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/800,536	03/15/2004	Jason M. Klein	561-2	1308
Jonathan A. Ba	7590 01/11/2007		EXAM	INER
Attorney at Law Suite 314 333 Park Central East			ILAN, RUTH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			7.11.1 0.11.1	
Springfield, MO 65806			3616	
1 5 /				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	SHTM	01/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/800,536	KLEIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ruth Ilan	3616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c		9ss			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this comm O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Oc	ctober 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the m	erits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 12-17</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 5</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,12 and 15-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3,13 and 14</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 15 March 2004 is/are: a	,	by the Examiner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o		•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •	1 121/d\			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa			` '			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Sta	age ·			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/15/04, 6/10/04</u> .	6) Other:					
		•				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I- claims 1-5 and 12-17 in the reply filed on September 29, 2006 is acknowledged.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because Figures 2C and 6 are photocopies of photographs and they are very difficult to decipher. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/800,536

drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3616

3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: "81". Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the

Page 3

Specification

4. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: there is no antecedent basis in the specification for the language of new claim 17, "plate stock"...

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/800,536 Page 4

Art Unit: 3616

6. Claim 17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The limitation "whereby said axle saddle is producible from plate stock" is not found in the specification or originally filed claims, and amounts to new matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Moses et al. (US 5,476,251) Moses teaches (Figures 3-5) an axle saddle for a welding to an elongated vehicle axle (100) having an angularly endless outer surface (in that it is continuous) and being adapted for connection to a suspension system (see col. 2, lines 31-63) The body has a concave inner surface and spaced convex outer surface and arcing lateral edges (see Figure 3) and longitudinal edges forming weld seams ((22, 28, see Figure 1.) Also taught is at least one longitudinally elongated slot aperture generally parallel with at least one longitudinal edge (24 (see Figure 5) providing an endless weld track and relieving in part the stress load carried through the weld seam (inherently.)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/800,536 Page 5

Art Unit: 3616

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claim 1, 12, and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mitchell et al. (US 5,375,871) in view of Moses et al. (US (US 5,476,251) Mitchell et al. teaches the claimed cylindrical slice axle saddle (92, see Figure 3) but fails to teach a longitudinally extending slot aperture. Moses et al. teaches that such a slot aperture (24) is useful (col. 3, line 20) to constrain the axle from movement when the line weld (22) is placed. Moses further teaches the claimed parallel placement (see col. 3, lines 64 and 65.) It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, in view of the teaching of Moses et al., to include a slot aperture parallel to the longitudinal weld, in order to facilitate the line weld process.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claims 4 and 5 are allowed.
- 12. Claims 2, 3, 13 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3616

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Pierce et al., Lie, Tantlinger et al., Galazin et al., Raidel II, et al., Henry et al., Barnes, and Dilling teach axle saddles of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruth Ilan whose telephone number is 571-272-6673. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on 571-272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ruth Ilan

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3616

RI